

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference G104004-F387	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/003934	International filing date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 23 March 2004 (23.03.2004)	Priority date (<i>day/month/year</i>) 25 March 2003 (25.03.2003)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant TOPPAN PRINTING CO., LTD.			

1. This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).

2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.

In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

- | | |
|---|---|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I | Basis of the report |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II | Priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI | Certain documents cited |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application |

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis .2).

Date of issuance of this report 22 February 2006 (22.02.2006)	
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35	Authorized officer Yoshiko Kuwahara Telephone No. +41 22 338 90 90

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

Translation

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference G104004-F387		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/003934	International filing date (day/month/year) 23.03.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant TOPPAN PRINTING CO., LTD.		

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application <p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/003934

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - a sequence listing
 - table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - in written format
 - in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - contained in the international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/JP2004/003934
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims	<u>2, 4, 6-12, 14, 16, 18-21</u>
	Claims	<u>1, 3, 5, 13, 15, 17</u>
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	<u>4, 10, 16</u>
	Claims	<u>1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21</u>
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	<u>1-21</u>
	Claims	
2. Citations and explanations:		
<p>Document 1: JP, 5-80028, A (Shipley Co., Inc.) March 30, 1993 (03.30.93), Claims, Paragraphs 0005, 0015, 0016 and 0027-0031</p> <p>Document 2: WO, 01/029548, A1 (Advanced Technology Materials, Inc.) April 26, 2001 (04.26.01), Page 2, line 11 to page 3, line 9, and page 21, lines 12-17, Fig. 1</p> <p>Document 3: JP, 2001-152398, A (Fujitsu Limited), June 5, 2001 (06.05.01), Full text</p>		
<p>The inventions of claims 1-3, 5, 13-15 and 17 are described in document 1 cited in the ISR; therefore, they do not appear to be novel or involve an inventive step.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 6-9, 11, 12 and 18-21 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 cited in the ISR. For example, using electrolytic copper plating on a semiconductor product as described in cited document 2, and controlling the condition of a liquid based on an analytical value are commonly known points; also, the rotation speed of a working electrode is merely a matter of design variation that is determined accordingly so as to appropriately stir a bath.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 4, 10 and 16 are not described in any of the documents cited in the ISR; nor are they obvious to a party skilled in the art. None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the idea of approximating the curve for time-dependent change in potential by the Boltzman function and deriving the potential change speed.</p>		

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

(PCT Rule 43bis.1)

		Date of mailing (day/month/year)
Applicant's or agent's file reference G104004-F387		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below
International application No. PCT/JP2004/003934	International filing date (day/month/year) 23.03.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.03.2003
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC		
Applicant TOPPAN PRINTING CO., LTD.		

<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I Basis of the opinion <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II Priority <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 	<p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p>
---	---

Name and mailing address of the ISA/JP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/003934

Box No. I Basis of this opinion

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language _____, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material
 - a sequence listing
 - table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material
 - in written format
 - in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing
 - contained in the international application as filed.
 - filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.	PCT/JP2004/003934
-------------------------------	-------------------

Box No. V		Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement																								
<p>1. Statement</p> <table> <tr> <td align="center">Novelty (N)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>2, 4, 6-12, 14, 16, 18-21</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1, 3, 5, 13, 15, 17</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Inventive step (IS)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>4, 10, 16</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21</td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> <tr> <td align="center">Industrial applicability (IA)</td> <td>Claims</td> <td>1-21</td> <td align="center">YES</td> </tr> <tr> <td></td> <td>Claims</td> <td></td> <td align="center">NO</td> </tr> </table> <p>2. Citations and explanations:</p> <p>Document 1: JP, 5-80028, A (Shipley Co., Inc.) March 30, 1993 (03.30.93), Claims, Paragraphs 0005, 0015, 0016 and 0027-0031</p> <p>Document 2: WO, 01/029548, A1 (Advanced Technology Materials, Inc.) April 26, 2001 (04.26.01), Page 2, line 11 to page 3, line 9, and page 21, lines 12-17, Fig. 1</p> <p>Document 3: JP, 2001-152398, A (Fujitsu Limited), June 5, 2001 (06.05.01), Full text</p> <p>The inventions of claims 1-3, 5, 13-15 and 17 are described in document 1 cited in the ISR; therefore, they do not appear to be novel or involve an inventive step.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 6-9, 11, 12 and 18-21 do not appear to involve an inventive step based on document 1 cited in the ISR. For example, using electrolytic copper plating on a semiconductor product as described in cited document 2, and controlling the condition of a liquid based on an analytical value are commonly known points; also, the rotation speed of a working electrode is merely a matter of design variation that is determined accordingly so as to appropriately stir a bath.</p> <p>The inventions of claims 4, 10 and 16 are not described in any of the documents cited in the ISR; nor are they obvious to a party skilled in the art. None of the documents cited in the ISR describes the idea of approximating the curve for time-dependent change in potential by the Boltzman function and deriving the potential change speed.</p>			Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 4, 6-12, 14, 16, 18-21	YES		Claims	1, 3, 5, 13, 15, 17	NO	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 10, 16	YES		Claims	1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21	NO	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	YES		Claims		NO
Novelty (N)	Claims	2, 4, 6-12, 14, 16, 18-21	YES																							
	Claims	1, 3, 5, 13, 15, 17	NO																							
Inventive step (IS)	Claims	4, 10, 16	YES																							
	Claims	1-3, 5-9, 11-15, 17-21	NO																							
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-21	YES																							
	Claims		NO																							